



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,719 11/03/2003		11/03/2003	Carl A. Caspers	55508-296713	3540	
25764	7590	03/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FAEGRE &	BENSO	N LLP	PATTERSON	PATTERSON, MARIE D		
PATENT D		-		ADTIBUT	DARRA NIII (DER	
2200 WELL	S FARGO	CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MINNEAPO	DLIS, MN	55402	3728			

DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)	PD					
		10/699,719	,	CASPERS ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Marie Patte	l	3728						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·								
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is no	n-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 									
Applicati	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.										
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) 🗆	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachment										
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		 Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Date 							
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6/7/04</u> .	-,	5) Notice of Informal Pa)-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/699,719

Art Unit: 3728

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Page 2

1. Claims 1-12, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1 the phrae "the heel" and in claim 16 the phrases "the pump" and "the heel" lack antecedent basis rendering the claims vague and indefinite.

Claims 6, 7, 8, 11, and 17 are vague and indefinite and appear to only recite functional language without additional structural limitations and therefore it is not clear what further structural/method step limitations applicant intends to encompass.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-13, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Grim (5392534).
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lakic (6014823).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3728

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grim '534.

Grim '534 shows a shoe and method of using a shoe comprising a support portion (the outer upper and outsole, a first material (bladder layers) and a pump and conduit (see figures) substantially as claimed except for providing a second breathable layer. Official Notice is taken that it is well known and conventional to wear socks with footwear which would provide a second breathable material. It would have been obvious to provide socks in the shoe and method of Grim '534 to increase comfort, cleanliness, etc.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (703) 872-9306 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

Marie Patterson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728